



Microsoft®

Exchange Server 2010

Security Target

Common Criteria: EAL1 augmented with ALC_FLR.3

Version 1.0

5-JUL-10

Document management

Document identification

Document ID	E14_EAL1_ASE
Document title	Microsoft Exchange Server 2010 Security Target
Release authority	Amy Blumenfield (amyblu)
Product version	Exchange Server 2010 Enterprise (English) 64-bit (Build: 14.00.0639.021)

Document history

Version	Date	Description
0.1	01-MAY-10	Release for internal review.
0.2	02-MAY-10	Update for formatting and internal review.
0.3	03-MAY-10	Released for Microsoft review.
0.4	25-MAY-10	Formatting updates.
0.5	30-MAY-10	Minor updates.
0.6	5-JUL-10	Minor syntax and typographical updates. Corrected the process flow for remote wipe functionality.
1.0	05-JUL-10	Initial release.

Table of Contents

1	Security Target introduction (ASE_INT)	4
1.1	ST and TOE identification.....	4
1.2	Document organization	4
1.3	TOE description	5
1.4	Logical scope of the TOE	8
2	Conformance Claim (ASE_CCL)	10
3	Security objectives (ASE_OBJ)	11
3.1	Overview	11
3.2	Security objectives for the IT environment	11
3.3	Security objectives for the non-IT environment	12
4	Security requirements (ASE_REQ)	13
4.1	Overview	13
4.2	SFR conventions.....	13
4.3	Security functional requirements	14
4.4	Dependency analysis.....	33
4.5	TOE security assurance requirements	37
4.6	Assurance measures	39
5	TOE summary specification (ASE_TSS)	41
5.1	Overview	41
5.2	Connection Filtering.....	42
5.3	Message filtering.....	43
5.4	Attachment Filtering	44
5.5	Transport Filtering.....	45
5.6	Access Control.....	46
5.7	Identification and Authentication	49
5.8	Distribution Group Restriction.....	50
5.9	Remote Wipe	51

1 Security Target introduction (ASE_INT)

1.1 ST and TOE identification

ST Title	Microsoft Exchange 2010 Security Target
ST Version	1.0, 5-JUL-10
TOE Reference	Microsoft Exchange Server 2010 Enterprise (English) 64-bit (Build: 14.00.0639.021)
Assurance Level	EAL1 augmented with ALC_FLR.3
CC Identification	Common Criteria for Information Technology (IT) Security Evaluation, Version 3.1, July 2009, incorporating: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Part One – Introduction and General Model, Revision Three, July 2009;• Part Two – Security Functional Components, Revision Three, July 2009; and• Part Three – Security Assurance Components, Revision Three, July 2009. International Standard – International Organization for Standardization (ISO)/International Electro technical Commission (IEC) 15408:1999.

1.2 Document organization

This document is organized into the following sections:

- Section 1 provides the introductory material for the ST as well as the TOE description.
- Section 2 provides the conformance claims for the evaluation.
- Section 3 defines the security objectives for the environment.
- Section 4 contains the functional and assurance requirements derived from the Common Criteria, Part 2 and 3, respectively that must be satisfied by the TOE.

- Section 5 provides a summary of the TOE specification, identifying the IT security functions provided by the TOE.

1.3 TOE description

1.3.1 TOE type and usage

The TOE is **Exchange Server 2010 Enterprise (English)** 64-bit (Build 14.00.0639.021) and is referred to as **Exchange 2010** in this document. The TOE is an e-mail and collaboration server that provides secure access to personal and shared data for a variety of clients using various protocols.

1.3.2 TOE security functions

The following table highlights the range of security functions and features implemented by the TOE.

Security function	Description
Connection filtering	Protects from unwanted spam or Unsolicited Commercial E-mail (UCE) by blocking messages from specified IP addresses.
Message filtering	Filters potential spam messages based on Administrator configured SMTP filters, including local and third party block/allow lists.
Attachment filtering	Provides a mechanism to filter potentially harmful attachments.
Transport filtering	Allows the administrator to define mail policies to prevent specific internal and/or external users from emailing each other.
Access control	Protects mailboxes and public folders from unauthorized access.
Identification and authentication	Provides identification and authentication mechanism for the Outlook Voice Access functionality in cases where Outlook Voice Access is not secured by the use of the TLS protocol.
Distribution group restriction	Requires users sending mail to a distribution group to be successfully authenticated and to be authorized.
Remote device wipe	An Administrator can issue a command to wipe a managed Windows Mobile device in the event that the device may have been compromised.

1.3.3 Physical scope of the TOE

The TOE comprises the Exchange 2010 software. This software is installed on a Windows server operating system and underlying suitable hardware. A typical installation of the TOE can be found in Figure 1 below and identifies the various server roles and components of the Exchange 2010 Server.

The underlying platform for the evaluated version of Exchange 2010 is the Windows Server 2008 R2 Enterprise Edition x64 Edition (English) operating system with patches as listed in the Exchange Server Guidance Addendum. This includes Internet protocol support using the Internet Information Services (IIS) component in Windows and the Active Directory for directory services.




Figure 1 – Exchange 2010 architecture

All roles, with the exception of the Edge Transport Server, can be installed on a single machine; however, for reasons of performance, in medium and large organization installations, these roles may be installed on individual servers. The TOE roles communicate in the same way whether they are installed on one server or many servers. More information about the installation of the TOE will be provided in the related guidance documents.

The following table describes each of the Exchange Server roles specified in Figure 1 above.

Server role	Description
Mailbox Server Role	<p>The Mailbox server role hosts mailbox and public folder databases. The administrator manages mail Lifecycle folders and policies from a Mailbox server. The mailbox server role, in conjunction with the environment, provides access control for users, mail, fax, and voice messages.</p>
Client Access Server Role	<p>This is the server that hosts the client protocols. The Client Access Server also exposes a Web Services interface for application developers.</p> <p>The Client Access server role accepts connections to the Exchange server from a variety of different clients. See Section 1.4.1 for more details on client applications and protocols.</p>
Unified Messaging Server Role	<p>Unified Messaging combines voice messaging, fax, calendaring and e-mail, which are accessible from a telephone or a computer. Exchange 2010 Unified Messaging integrates Exchange Server with telephony networks and brings Unified Messaging features to the core of Exchange Server. Outlook Voice Access (OVA) is a feature of the Unified Messaging Role and lets users access their mailbox using telephone communication. OVA can optionally be secured by the Transport Layer Security Protocol (TLS).</p>
Hub Transport Server Role	<p>This is the mail routing server that routes mail within the Exchange organization. The Hub Transport server role handles all mail flow inside the organization, applies transport rules, applies journaling policies, and delivers messages to the recipient's mailbox. Messages that are sent to the Internet are relayed by the Hub Transport server to the Edge Transport server role that is deployed in the perimeter network.</p>
Edge Transport Server Role	<p>This is the mail routing server that sits at the perimeter of the network topology and routes mail into and out of the Exchange organization. The Edge Transport server role handles the following scenarios:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mail Flow - The Edge Transport server role accepts mail coming into the Exchange Server organization from the Internet and routes all outbound messages to the Internet. • Filtering - The Edge Transport server role helps protect the Exchange Server organization from spam by filtering inbound messages as they arrive and before they are delivered to the internal private network.

1.4 Logical scope of the TOE

1.4.1 Supported protocols and clients

The TOE offers its services for users via a variety of protocols including:

- RPC for applications like Microsoft Office Outlook ,
- SMTP for generic clients and servers sending e-mail to the TOE,
- HTTP for Web Browsers (using Outlook Web Access) and for ActiveSync clients,
- RPC tunnelled over HTTP,
- Web Services Application Programming Interface (API) for in-house applications, and
- SIP/RTP for Outlook Voice Access (OVA).

Outlook Voice Access (OVA) can optionally be secured by enabling the TLS protocol with mutual authentication for SIP/RTP. In this case, the identification and authentication of OVA users is not performed by the TOE but is the sole responsibility of the TLS authenticated application which is part of the IT environment.

These protocols can be used to connect to the TOE via different clients. Clients can be categorized into the following groups:

- **Generic Client (also known as Internet Client):** A client of this type could be any mail client that uses SMTP to connect to the TOE or a web browser that uses HTTP or Web Services to connect to the TOE.
- **Outlook client:** In contrast to the generic clients, an Outlook client uses RPC (or RPC over http) to connect to the TOE.

In addition to the above clients, the TOE allows users to connect using a standard or IP telephone via Outlook Voice Access. To use standard telephones, a PBX must be connected to the TOE. A PBX may also forward IP calls.

The Unified Messaging server role in Exchange 2010 lets users access voice mail, e-mail, fax messages, and calendar information located in their Exchange Server mailbox from an e-mail client such as Microsoft Outlook or Outlook Web Access, from a mobile device that has Microsoft Exchange ActiveSync

enabled, such as a Windows Mobile® powered Smartphone or a personal digital assistant (PDA), or from a telephone. Further, the SMTP protocol can be used by a SMTP server to connect to the TOE.

The scope of the TOE ends at the interfaces where it provides its services and does not include any functionality of any client.

1.4.2 Excluded features

The following components and features are considered outside the scope of the evaluation:

- the IMAP4 and POP3 protocols,
- all clients that can be used to connect to the TOE, and
- all externally compiled lists that the TOE relies on for filtering of email messages.

2 Conformance Claim (ASE_CCL)

The ST and TOE are conformant to version 3.1 (Revision 3) of the Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation.

The following conformance claims are made for the TOE and ST:

- Part 2 conformant. Conformant with Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 2: Security functional requirements, version 3.1, July 2009.
- Part 3 conformant, EAL1 augmented. Conformant with Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 3: Security assurance requirements, version 3.1, Revision 3. Evaluation is EAL1 augmented with ALC_FLR.3.

3 Security objectives (ASE_OBJ)

3.1 Overview

The security objectives at an EAL1 level of assurance include concise statements of the objectives to be achieved by the supporting environment.

3.2 Security objectives for the IT environment

Identifier	Objective statements
OE.PLATFORM	<p>The platform upon which the TOE resides shall be Windows Server 2008R2 Enterprise Edition x64 (English).The platform provides:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Access Control to restrict modification to TOE executables, the platform itself, configuration files and databases (mailboxes and public folders) only to the authorized administrators.• Functionality for supporting and enforcing Identification and Authentication of users. The platform shall ensure the identification and authentication of users except for the case that they connect via a non TLS encrypted Outlook Voice Access connection.• Methods to store and manage TSF data for the TOE. Further, the platform will provide a role concept for administrative roles and restrict access to TSF data where necessary.

3.3 Security objectives for the non-IT environment

Identifier	Objective statements
OE.COM_PROT	<p>The administrator of the TOE shall ensure that the communication channels between all server roles are appropriately secured against eavesdropping and manipulation by physical protection of the wire or by using encryption.</p> <p>Any internet connection to a server role shall be appropriately secured by a firewall.</p> <p>The administrator shall ensure that the connection between the TOE and the user is appropriately secured by a physical protection of the wire or by using encryption to avoid eavesdropping or manipulation of the communication.</p>
OE.INSTALL	<p>The TOE shall be delivered, installed, configured and set up in accordance with documented delivery and installation/setup procedures and only by trustworthy staff.</p> <p>The administrator must ensure that the TOE is delivered, installed, configured, managed and operated in a manner that is consistent with IT security.</p> <p>Beside the software necessary for the management and operation of the TOE (e.g. management tools) no untrusted software shall be installed on the machines the TOE is installed on.</p> <p>The administrator(s) shall ensure – during TOE installation and operation - that the platform the TOE is running on allows the secure operation of the TOE.</p>
OE.BLOCKLIST	<p>Block/allow lists from third parties - which are used to evaluate email messages - have to be of sufficient quality and trustworthy. Therefore, the administrator shall ensure that only third party block/allow lists from trustworthy sources will be used and that the download of these block/allow lists is appropriately secured with respect to the integrity and authenticity of the block/allow lists</p>
OE.PHYSICAL	<p>The administrators shall ensure that those parts of the TOE and its platform that are critical to security policy are protected from any physical attack.</p>

4 Security requirements (ASE_REQ)

4.1 Overview

This section defines the security requirements satisfied by the TOE. Each requirement has been extracted from version 3.1 of the Common Criteria, part 2 providing functional requirements and part 3 providing assurance requirements.

4.2 SFR conventions

Part 2 of the Common Criteria defines an approved set of operations that may be applied to security functional requirements. Following are the approved operations and the document conventions that are used within this ST to depict their application:

- **Assignment.** The assignment operation provides the ability to specify an identified parameter within a requirement. Assignments are depicted using bolded text and are surrounded by square brackets as follows [**assignment**].
- **Selection.** The selection operation allows the specification of one or more items from a list. Selections are depicted using bold italics text and are surrounded by square brackets as follows [*selection*].
- **Refinement.** The refinement operation allows the addition of extra detail to a requirement. Refinements are indicated using bolded text, for **additions**, and strike-through, for ~~deletions~~.
- **Iteration.** The iteration operation allows a component to be used more than once with varying operations. Iterations are depicted by placing a letter at the end of the component identifier as follows FDP_1FF.1a and FDP_1FF.1b.

4.3 Security functional requirements

4.3.1 Overview

The security functional requirements are expressed using the notation stated in Section 4.2 and summarized in the table below.

Identifier	Title
FDP_ACC.1a	Subset access control (Folder)
FDP_ACC.1b	Subset access control (Group)
FDP_ACF.1a	Security attribute based access control (Folder)
FDP_ACF.1b	Security attribute based access control (Group)
FDP_IFC.1a	Subset information flow control (Connect)
FDP_IFC.1b	Subset information flow control (SRL)
FDP_IFC.1c	Subset information flow control (Message)
FDP_IFC.1d	Subset information flow control (Attachment Filter)
FDP_IFC.1e	Subset information flow control (Transport)
FDP_IFF.1a	Simple security attributes (Connect)
FDP_IFF.1b	Simple security attributes (SRL)
FDP_IFF.1c	Simple security attributes (Message)
FDP_IFF.1d	Simple security attributes (AttachmentFilter)
FDP_IFF.1e	Simple security attributes (Transport)
FIA_SOS.1	Verification of secrets
FIA_UAU.2	User authentication before any action
FIA_UID.2	User identification before any action

Identifier	Title
FIA_USB.1	User-subject binding
FMT_MOF.1	Management of security functions behaviour (Remote Wipe)
FMT_SMF.1	Specification of Management Functions
FMT_MSA.1	Management of security attributes (Folder)
FMT_MSA.3a	Static attribute initialisation (Folder)
FMT_MSA.3b	Static attribute initialisation (Group)
FMT_MSA.3c	Static attribute initialisation (Connect)
FMT_MSA.3d	Static attribute initialisation (SRL)
FMT_MSA.3e	Static attribute initialisation (Message)
FMT_MSA.3f	Static attribute initialisation (AttachmentFilter)
FMT_MSA.3g	Static attribute initialisation (Transport)

4.3.2 FDP_ACC.1a Subset access control (Folder)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_ACC.1a.1	<p>The TSF shall enforce the [Discretionary Access Control SFP] on [</p> <p>Subjects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) processes acting on behalf of users <p>Objects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Mailbox, public folder items¹ and (sub)folders <p>Mailbox operations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) List folder b) Create subfolder c) Create item d) Read item e) Edit item f) Delete item g) Modify folder permissions h) Send item <p>Public folder operations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) List Folder b) Create subfolder c) Create item d) Read item e) Edit item f) Delete item g) Modify folder permissions].
Dependencies:	FDP_ACF.1 - Security attribute based access control
Notes:	None.

¹ Mailbox and public folder items include all objects that are stored in a mailbox or public folder (e.g. emails, contacts or certificates)

4.3.3 FDP_ACC.1b Subset access control (Group)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_ACC.1b.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Distribution Group Restriction SFP] on [Subjects: a) users sending e-mail objects: a) distribution groups operation: a) use, i.e. send messages to a distribution group].
Dependencies:	FDP_ACF.1 - Security attribute based access control
Notes:	None.

4.3.4 FDP_ACF.1a Security attribute based access control (Folder)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_ACF.1a.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Discretionary Access Control SFP] to objects based on the following: [Subject attribute: a) ID² of the user and its corresponding role Object attributes: a) Object name b) Owner of the folder].
FDP_ACF.1a.2	The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed: [The operation is allowed if the ID of the user is linked to a role³ permitted to perform the requested operation on the object.].
FDP_ACF.1a.3	The TSF shall explicitly authorise access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [None].

²The ID of the current user is provided by the Windows Operating System or by the authentication policy as expressed in FIA_UAU.2 (only when the user is connected via non-TLS secured Outlook Voice Access).

³A role in this context is a collection of operations linked to a scope

FDP_ACF.1a.4	The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [None] .
Dependencies:	FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation
Notes:	None.

4.3.5 FDP_ACF.1b Security attribute based access control (Group)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_ACF.1b.1	<p>The TSF shall enforce the [Distribution Group Restriction SFP] to objects based on the following: [</p> <p>Object attributes (distribution groups):</p> <p>a) Message Delivery Restrictions</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. “Require that all senders are authenticated” restriction 2. “Accept messages from a specific list of senders” list 3. “Reject messages from a specific list of senders” list]. <p>Subject attribute:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) ID of the user and its corresponding role b) Authentication status within exchange
FDP_ACF.1b.2	<p>The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed: [</p> <p>The operation is allowed, if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) the “require that all senders are authenticated” is set to false; or b) the “require that all senders are authenticated” is set to true and the subject is authenticated (i.e. the corresponding ID is available), <p>and</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) the ID of the sending user is in the “Accept messages from a specific list of senders” list and not the “Reject messages from a specific list of senders” list].
FDP_ACF.1b.3	The TSF shall explicitly authorise access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [None] .
FDP_ACF.1b.4	The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [None] .

Dependencies:	FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation
Notes:	None.

4.3.6 FDP_IFC.1a Subset information flow control (Connect)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_IFC.1a.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Connection Filtering SFP] on [Subjects: a) External SMTP Servers b) Edge Transport Server Role Information: a) email messages Operations: a) email transfer].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes
Notes:	None.

4.3.7 FDP_IFC.1b Subset information flow control (SRL)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_IFC.1b.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Sender Reputation SFP] on [Subjects: a) External SMTP Servers b) Edge Transport Server Role Information: a) email Messages Operations: b) Mail Transfer].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes
Notes:	None.

4.3.8 FDP_IFC.1c Subset information flow control (Message)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_IFC.1c.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Message Filtering SFP] on [Subjects: a) External SMTP Servers b) Edge Transport Server Role Information: a) email messages Operations: a) email transfer].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes
Notes:	None.

4.3.9 FDP_IFC.1d Subset information flow control (Attachment Filter)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_IFC.1d.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Attachment SFP] on [Subjects: a) External SMTP Servers b) Edge Transport Server Role Information: a) email messages Operations: a) email transfer].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes
Notes:	None.

4.3.10 FDP_IFC.1e Subset information flow control (Transport)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_IFC.1e.1	<p>The TSF shall enforce the [Hub Transport SFP] on [</p> <p>Subjects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Hub Transport Server Role <p>Information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) email messages <p>Operations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) email transfer].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes
Notes:	None.

4.3.11 FDP_IFF.1a Simple security attributes (Connect)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_IFF.1a.1	<p>The TSF shall enforce the [Connection Filtering SFP] based on the following types of subject and information security attributes: [</p> <p>Subject attributes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) IP address of the external SMTP server b) allow/block lists of the Edge Transport Server Role c) list of exceptional recipients of the Edge Transport Server Role <p>Information attributes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) recipients of the e-mail].
FDP_IFF.1a.2	<p>The TSF shall permit an information flow between a controlled subject and controlled information via a controlled operation if the following rules hold: [None].</p>
FDP_IFF.1a.3	<p>The TSF shall enforce the [additional ordered rules</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) If the IP address of the sending external SMTP server is listed on a local allow list, the message will be accepted b) If the IP address of the sending external SMTP server is listed on a local block list, the message will be rejected c) If the IP address of the sending external SMTP server is listed on a remote allow list, the message will be accepted

	<p>d) If one of the recipients of the e-mail is on the local list of exceptional recipients the message will be accepted</p> <p>e) If the IP address of the sending external SMTP server is listed on a remote block list, the message will be rejected</p> <p>f) Else the message will be accepted].</p>
FDP_IFF.1a.4	The TSF shall explicitly authorise an information flow based on the following rules: [None].
FDP_IFF.1a.5	The TSF shall explicitly deny an information flow based on the following rules: [None].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation
Notes:	<p>This functionality utilizes the following kinds of allow and block lists:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Local allow and block lists maintained by Administrators b) Remote allow and block lists retrieved from external service providers (so called “block list service providers”) c) A local list of exceptional recipients. <p>The remote lists are not considered to be Security Attributes in the context of this policy as they are not stored locally.</p>

4.3.12 FDP_IFF.1b Simple security attributes (SRL)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_IFF.1b.1	<p>The TSF shall enforce the [Sender Reputation SFP] based on the following types of subject and information security attributes: [</p> <p>Subject attributes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Sender Reputation Level (SRL) of the external SMTP server (calculated by the TOE) b) SRL Threshold c) local list of SRL values from the Edge Transport Server Role <p>Information attributes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) None].
FDP_IFF.1b.2	The TSF shall permit an information flow between a controlled subject and controlled information via a controlled operation if the following rules hold: [None].
FDP_IFF.1b.3	The TSF shall enforce the [additional rules

	<p>If:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> b) the local list of SRL values contains an entry for the external SMTP server with a SRL value greater than or equal to the SRL threshold; or a) The SRL value (calculated by the TOE) for the external SMTP server is greater than or equals the SRL Threshold; <p>the server will be added to the local block list of FDP_IFF.1a (Connect) for an Authorized Administrator configurable period of time].</p>
FDP_IFF.1b.4	The TSF shall explicitly authorise an information flow based on the following rules: [None].
FDP_IFF.1b.5	The TSF shall explicitly deny an information flow based on the following rules: [None].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation
Notes:	None.

4.3.13 FDP_IFF.1c Simple security attributes (Message)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_IFF.1c.1	<p>The TSF shall enforce the [Message Filtering SFP] based on the following types of subject and information security attributes: [</p> <p>Subject attributes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) sender and recipient filtering lists from the Edge Transport Server Role b) local address book⁴ from the Edge Transport Server Role <p>Information attributes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) MAIL FROM: field of the RFC 2821 envelope b) RFC 2822 header c) RCPT TO: field of the RFC 2821 envelope].
FDP_IFF.1c.2	<p>The TSF shall permit an information flow between a controlled subject and controlled information via a controlled operation if the following rules hold: [</p> <p>The e-mail will be accepted unless:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the sender listed in the MAIL FROM: field of the (RFC 2821) message envelope is on the sender filtering list or

⁴ The local address book is a list of local SMTP addresses.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> b) the sender in the FROM header of the message (RFC 2822) is on the sender filtering list or c) the MAIL FROM: field of the RFC 2821 message envelope is blank⁵ and the FROM header of the message (RFC 2822) does not contain a valid e-mail address⁶ or d) the recipient listed in the RCPT TO: field of the RFC 2821 message envelope is on the recipient filtering list or e) the recipient does not exist in the local address book].
FDP_IFF.1c.3	The TSF shall enforce the [None].
FDP_IFF.1c.4	The TSF shall explicitly authorise an information flow based on the following rules: [None].
FDP_IFF.1c.5	The TSF shall explicitly deny an information flow based on the following rules: [None].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation
Notes:	None.

4.3.14 FDP_IFF.1d Simple security attributes (AttachmentFilter)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_IFF.1d.1	<p>The TSF shall enforce the [Attachment SFP] based on the following types of subject and information security attributes: [</p> <p>Subject attributes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Attachment Policy of the Edge Transport Server Role <p>Information attributes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) MIME Type b) extension of the attachment].
FDP_IFF.1d.2	<p>The TSF shall permit an information flow between a controlled subject and controlled information via a controlled operation if the following rules hold: [</p> <p>The information flow is permitted if not explicitly prohibited by the Attachment</p>

⁵ As this field can never be completely empty, the term "blank" refers to a so called null address which is a MAIL FROM field that contains the characters "<>"

⁶ A valid email address in this context means a string in the structure of [recipient]@[domain].[top level domain]

	policy].
FDP_IFF.1d.3	The TSF shall enforce the [additional rule Attachments will be stripped or emails containing attachments will be blocked in accordance with the Attachment policy].
FDP_IFF.1d.4	The TSF shall explicitly authorise an information flow based on the following rules: [None].
FDP_IFF.1d.5	The TSF shall explicitly deny an information flow based on the following rules: [None].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation
Notes:	The attachment policy as defined in FDP_IFF.1d (AttachmentFilter) comprises a set of ordered rules that are defined by the administrator of the TOE. These rules are evaluated in order to determine how an e-mail attachment shall be handled. The MIME type and Attachment extension information attributes are used by these rules to define whether a rule shall be applied to an attachment. Each rule is comprised of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) A set of criteria that defines the attachments to which the rule shall apply (based on MIME type and Attachment extension). b) A set of exceptions to which the rule shall not be applied. c) An action to perform when an attachment meets the rule criteria.

4.3.15 FDP_IFF.1e Simple security attributes (Transport)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_IFF.1e.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Hub Transport SFP] based on the following types of subject and information security attributes: [<p>subject attributes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Hub Transport Policy of the Hub Transport Server Role <p>Information attributes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Sender b) Recipients c) CC: d) Subject e) Classification f) Header

	<p>g) Attachment Name</p> <p>h) Attachment Size</p> <p>i) Attachment extension</p> <p>j) Importance</p> <p>k) Key words in Subject or email body].</p>
FDP_IFF.1e.2	The TSF shall permit an information flow between a controlled subject and controlled information via a controlled operation if the following rules hold: [None] .
FDP_IFF.1e.3	The TSF shall enforce the [additional rule For each email the Hub Transport policy shall be evaluated and each rule that fits to the email shall be applied] .
FDP_IFF.1e.4	The TSF shall explicitly authorise an information flow based on the following rules: [None] .
FDP_IFF.1e.5	The TSF shall explicitly deny an information flow based on the following rules: [None] .
Dependencies:	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation
Notes:	<p>The Hub transport policy as defined in FDP_IFF.1e (Transport) comprises a set of ordered rules that are defined by the administrator of the TOE. The rules are evaluated in order to determine how an e-mail message shall be handled. Each rule is comprised of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) A set of criteria that define the mails to which the rule shall be applied (based on the information attributes). b) A set of exceptions to which the rule shall not be applied. c) An action to perform when a mail meets the rule criteria.

4.3.16 FIA_SOS.1 Verification of secrets

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FIA_SOS.1.1	The TSF shall provide a mechanism to verify that secrets meet [Outlook Voice Access PIN quality metrics as defined by the administrator including an Outlook Voice Access PIN of at least 8 digits] .
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
Notes:	The Outlook Voice Access PINs are the only secrets maintained by the TOE in the context of this requirement.

4.3.17 FIA_UAU.2 User authentication before any action

Hierarchical to:	FIA_UAU.1 Timing of authentication
FIA_UAU.2.1	The TSF shall require each user connecting via non TLS-secured Outlook Voice Access to be successfully authenticated before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.
Dependencies:	FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification
Notes:	The environment is responsible for user authentication prior to any action for users connecting via RPC, SMTP, HTTP, RPC over HTTP, Web Services, TLS-secured OVA.

4.3.18 FIA_UID.2 User identification before any action

Hierarchical to:	FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification
FIA_UID.2.1	The TSF shall require each user connecting via non TLS-secured Outlook Voice Access to be successfully identified before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
Notes:	The environment is responsible for user identification prior to any action for users connecting via RPC, SMTP, HTTP, RPC over HTTP, Web Services, TLS-secured OVA.

4.3.19 FIA_USB.1 User-subject binding

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FIA_USB.1.1	The TSF shall associate the following user security attributes with subjects acting on the behalf of that user: [<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) ID (user's identity) b) Group Memberships].
FIA_USB.1.2	The TSF shall enforce the following rules on the initial association of user security attributes with subjects acting on the behalf of users: [None].
FIA_USB.1.3	The TSF shall enforce the following rules governing changes to the user security attributes associated with subjects acting on the behalf of users: [None].
Dependencies:	FIA_ATD.1 User attribute definition
Notes:	None.

4.3.20 FMT_MOF.1 Management of security functions behaviour (Remote Wipe)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_MOF.1.1	The TSF shall restrict the ability to [<i>modify the behaviour of</i>] the functions [<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Issue the remote wipe command.] to [Authorized Administrators].
Dependencies:	FMT_SMR.1 Security roles FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions
Notes:	None.

4.3.21 FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_SMF.1.1	The TSF shall be capable of performing the following management functions: [<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Management of user roles for the Discretionary Access Control SFP (FDP_ACC.1a (Folder)) b) Management of security attributes for the Distribution Group Restriction SFP (FDP_ACC.1b (Group)) c) Management of security attributes for the Message Filtering SFP (FDP_IFF.1c (Message)) d) Management of security attributes for the Connection Filtering SFP(FDP_IFF.1a (Connect)) e) Management of security attributes for the Sender Reputation SFP (FDP_IFF.1b (SRL)) f) Management of security attributes for the Attachment SFP (FDP_IFF.1d (AttachmentFilter)) g) Management of security attributes for the Hub Transport SFP (FDP_IFF.1e (Transport)) h) Management of attributes for authentication via Outlook Voice Access (FIA_UAU.2) i) Management of quality metric for user PINs (FIA_SOS.1) j) Issue a remote wipe command to a Windows Mobile Device (FMT_MOF.1)].
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
Notes:	None.

4.3.22 FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes (Folder)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_MSA.1.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Discretionary Access Control SFP] to restrict the ability to [<i>query, modify</i>] the security attributes [role based access control permissions, Owner of the folder] to [Authorized Administrators and users with the appropriate role].
Dependencies:	[FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control, or FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control] FMT_SMR.1 Security roles FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions
Notes:	None.

4.3.23 FMT_MSA.3a Static attribute initialisation (Folder)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_MSA.3a.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Discretionary Access Control SFP] to provide [<i>restrictive</i>] default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.
FMT_MSA.3a.2	The TSF shall allow the [nobody] to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.
Dependencies:	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMR.1 Security roles
Notes:	Every user with an Exchange 2010 mailbox, including administrators, is given a role assignment policy by default. Administrators can decide which role assignment policy should be assigned by default, choose what the default role assignment policy should include, override the default for certain mailboxes, or not assign role assignment policies by default at all.

4.3.24 FMT_MSA.3b Static attribute initialisation (Group)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_MSA.3b.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Distribution Group Restriction SFP] to provide [administratively defined] default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.
FMT_MSA.3b.2	The TSF shall allow the [Authorized Administrator and users with the appropriate role] to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.
Dependencies:	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMR.1 Security roles
Notes:	Every user with an Exchange 2010 mailbox, including administrators, is given a role assignment policy by default. Administrators can decide which role assignment policy should be assigned by default, choose what the default role assignment policy should include, override the default for certain mailboxes, or not assign role assignment policies by default at all.

4.3.25 FMT_MSA.3c Static attribute initialisation (Connect)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_MSA.3c.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Connection Filtering SFP] to provide [permissive] default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.
FMT_MSA.3c.2	The TSF shall allow the [Authorized Administrator and users with the appropriate role] to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.
Dependencies:	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMR.1 Security roles
Notes:	None.

4.3.26 FMT_MSA.3d Static attribute initialisation (SRL)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_MSA.3d.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Sender Reputation SFP] to provide [<i>permissive</i>] default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.
FMT_MSA.3d.2	The TSF shall allow the [Authorized Administrator and users with the appropriate role] to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.
Dependencies:	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMR.1 Security roles
Notes:	Here “permissive” means an SRL threshold of “7”.

4.3.27 FMT_MSA.3e Static attribute initialisation (Message)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_MSA.3e.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Message Filtering SFP] to provide [<i>permissive</i>] default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.
FMT_MSA.3e.2	The TSF shall allow the [Authorized Administrator and users with the appropriate role] to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.
Dependencies:	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMR.1 Security roles
Notes:	None.

4.3.28 FMT_MSA.3f Static attribute initialisation (AttachmentFilter)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_MSA.3f.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Attachment SFP] to provide [<i>restrictive</i>] default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.
FMT_MSA.3f.2	The TSF shall allow the [Authorized Administrator and users with the appropriate role] to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.
Dependencies:	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMR.1 Security roles
Notes:	None.

4.3.29 FMT_MSA.3g Static attribute initialisation (Transport)

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_MSA.3g.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Hub Transport SFP] to provide [<i>permissive</i>] default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.
FMT_MSA.3g.2	The TSF shall allow the [Authorized Administrator and users with the appropriate role] to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.
Dependencies:	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMR.1 Security roles
Notes:	In the context of this ST, the functionality required by FMT_MSA.3.2 shall be seen in the way that the TOE does not provide any functionality to change the default values rather than restricting the access to such functionality.

4.4 Dependency analysis

SFR	Dependency	Inclusion
FDP_ACC.1a	FDP_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control	FDP_ACF.1a
FDP_ACC.1b	FDP_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control	FDP_ACF.1b
FDP_ACF.1a	FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation	FDP_ACC.1a, FMT_MSA.3a
FDP_ACF.1b	FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation	FDP_ACC.1b, FMT_MSA.3b
FDP_IFC.1a	FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes	FDP_IFF.1a
FDP_IFC.1b	FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes	FDP_IFF.1b
FDP_IFC.1c	FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes	FDP_IFF.1c
FDP_IFC.1d	FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes	FDP_IFF.1d
FDP_IFC.1e	FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes	FDP_IFF.1e
FDP_IFF.1a	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation	FDP_IFC.1a, FMT_MSA.3c

SFR	Dependency	Inclusion
FDP_IFF.1b	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation	FDP_IFC.1b, FMT_MSA.3d
FDP_IFF.1c	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation	FDP_IFC.1c, FMT_MSA.3e
FDP_IFF.1d	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation	FDP_IFC.1d, FMT_MSA.3f
FDP_IFF.1e	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation	FDP_IFC.1e, FMT_MSA.3g
FIA_SOS.1	No dependencies	N/A
FIA_UAU.2	FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification	FIA_UID.2
FIA_UID.2	No dependencies	N/A
FIA_USB.1	FIA_ATD.1 User attribute definition	Not included – see rationale below
FMT_MOF.1	FMT_SMR.1 Security roles FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions	FMT_SMF.1 FMT_SMR.1 not included – see rationale below
FMT_SMF.1	No dependencies	N/A

SFR	Dependency	Inclusion
FMT_MSA.1	[FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control, or FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control] FMT_SMR.1 Security roles FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions	FDP_ACC.1a, FDP_ACF.1a, FMT_SMF.1 FMT_SMR.1 not included – see rationale below
FMT_MSA.3a	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMR.1 Security roles	FMT_MSA.1 FMT_SMR.1 not included – see rationale below
FMT_MSA.3b	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMR.1 Security roles	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_MSA.1 not included – see rationale below
FMT_MSA.3c	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMR.1 Security roles	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_MSA.1 not included – see rationale below.
FMT_MSA.3d	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMR.1 Security roles	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_MSA.1 not included – see rationale below
FMT_MSA.3e	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMR.1 Security roles	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_MSA.1 not included – see rationale below
FMT_MSA.3f	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMR.1 Security roles	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_MSA.1 not included – see rationale below

SFR	Dependency	Inclusion
FMT_MSA.3g	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes FMT_SMR.1 Security roles	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_MSA.1 not included – see rationale below

4.4.1 Rationale for not addressing all dependencies

FIA_ATD.1, FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_MSA.1 has not been included as SFRs as the environment is responsible for performing the required functionality as specified in OE.PLATFORM.

4.5 TOE security assurance requirements

The assurance package for the evaluation of the TOE is Evaluation Assurance Level 1 (EAL1) augmented with Systematic flaw remediation (ALC_FLR.3).

EAL1 provides a basic level of assurance by a limited security target and an analysis of the SFRs in that ST using a functional and interface specification and guidance documentation, to understand the security behaviour.

The analysis is supported by a search for potential vulnerabilities in the public domain and independent testing (functional and penetration) of the TSF.

EAL1 also provides assurance through unique identification of the TOE and of the relevant evaluation documents.

This EAL provides a meaningful increase in assurance over unevaluated IT.

Assurance class	Assurance components
ADV: Development	ADV_FSP.1 Basic functional specification
AGD: Guidance documents	AGD_OPE.1 Operational user guidance
	AGD_PRE.1 Preparative procedures
ALC: Life cycle support	ALC_CMS.1 TOE CM coverage
	ALC_CMC.1 Labelling of the TOE
	ALC_FLR.3 Systematic flaw remediation
ASE: Security Target evaluation	ASE_CCL.1 Conformance claims
	ASE_ECD.1 Extended components definition
	ASE_INT.1 ST Introduction
	ASE_OBJ.1 Security objectives for the operational environment
	ASE_REQ.1 Stated security requirements

Assurance class	Assurance components
	ASE_TSS.1 TOE summary specification
ATE: Tests	ATE_IND.1 Independent testing - conformance
AVA: Vulnerability assessment	AVA_VAN.1 Vulnerability survey

4.6 Assurance measures

Assurance requirement	Assurance measures	Demonstration
ADV_FSP.1 Basic functional specification	Development	<p>The development assurance measure provides all the necessary design documentation to support the analysis of the TOE for an evaluation at EAL1.</p> <p>The functional specification provides a detailed description of the security functions of the TOE.</p>
AGD_OPE.1 Operational user guidance	Guidance documents	<p>The operational user guidance documentation provides the guidance for end users, administrators and other parties who will utilise the TOE.</p>
AGD_PRE.1 Preparative procedures		<p>These documents provide all the necessary instructions and direction for ensuring that the TOE is installed, configured, used and administered in a secure manner.</p>
ALC_CMC.1 Labelling of the TOE	Life cycle support	<p>Configuration management measures provide the assurance that the TOE and supporting evidence can be uniquely identified.</p>
ALC_CMS.1 TOE CM coverage		<p>The life cycle support assurance measures provides a set of procedures aimed at the identifying, reporting and addressing security flaws or bugs that may appear in the TOE.</p>
ALC_FLR.3 Systematic flaw remediation		
ASE_CCL.1 Conformance claims	Security Target evaluation	<p>Security Target evaluation assurance measures ensure that the claim to EAL1 (augmented with ALC_FLR.3) can be accurately appraised.</p>
ASE_ECD.1 Extended components definition		
ASE_INT.1 ST Introduction		

Assurance requirement	Assurance measures	Demonstration
ASE_OBJ.1 Security objectives for the operational environment		
ASE_REQ.1 Stated security requirements		
ASE_TSS.1 TOE summary specification		
ATE_IND.1 Independent testing - conformance	Tests	<p>The tests assurance measure ensures that the TOE has been appropriately tested for the claimed set of security functions.</p> <p>The test plans for the TOE identifies the set of security functions that are to be tested, the procedures for establishing the test environment and also for conducting the test cases.</p> <p>The results of the tests are also recorded to provide evidence of test results.</p>
AVA_VAN.1 Vulnerability survey	Vulnerability assessment	The TOE will be made available for vulnerability analysis and penetration testing.

5 TOE summary specification (ASE_TSS)

5.1 Overview

This section provides the TOE summary specification, a high-level definition of the security functions claimed to meet the functional and assurance requirements.

The TOE security functions include the following:

- **Connection Filtering.** The TOE protects from unwanted spam or Unsolicited Commercial E-mail (UCE) by blocking messages from specified IP addresses.
- **Message Filtering.** Filters potential spam messages based on Administrator configured SMTP filters, including local and third party block/allow lists.
- **Attachment Filtering.** Provides a mechanism to filter potentially harmful attachments from external networks.
- **Transport Filtering.** Allows the administrator to define mail policies to prevent specific internal and/or external users from emailing each other.
- **Access Control.** Protects mailboxes and public folders from unauthorized access.
- **Identification and Authentication.** Provides identification and authentication mechanism for the Outlook Voice Access functionality in cases where Outlook Voice Access is not secured by the use of the TLS protocol.
- **Distribution Group Restriction.** Requires users sending mail to a distribution group to be successfully authenticated and to be authorized.
- **Remote device wipe.** An Administrator can issue a command to wipe a Windows Mobile device in the event that the device may have been compromised.

5.2 Connection Filtering

Exchange will reject SMTP connections based on IP address of the connecting external SMTP server⁷. To do so, Exchange references allow lists, block lists and a list of exceptional recipients. These lists which may contain IP addresses or IP address ranges. The TOE references local and remote allow and block lists. Local lists are defined by an authorized Administrator while remote allow and block lists are retrieved from external service providers (so called block list service providers).

When an SMTP connection is established, Exchange enforces the following ordered rules, according to the IP address of the external SMTP server:

- If the IP address of the sending SMTP server is listed on a local allow list, the message will be accepted;
- If the IP address of the sending SMTP server is listed on a local block list, the message will be rejected;
- If the IP address of the sending SMTP server is listed on a remote allow list, the message will be accepted;
- If one of the recipients of the e-mail is on the local list of exception recipients, the message will be accepted;
- If the IP address of the sending SMTP server is listed on a remote block list, the message will be rejected;
- Else the message will be accepted.

By default, the local allow and block lists that are used in this Security Function are empty.

The TOE also calculates the Sender Reputation Level (SRL) of a remote SMTP server after at least 20 mails have been received from this server. This SRL is a numeric value between 0 and 9 that serves as an indicator of how likely the sending server is a spammer.

Further, the environment of the TOE maintains a local list of SRL values for known SMTP servers. This list is updated on a regular basis.

⁷An external SMTP server is an SMTP server logically outside the Exchange organization that connects to an Edge Server.

If the local SRL for a sending SMTP server or the calculated SRL has reached or exceeded an administrator configurable value (the SRL Threshold which is 7 by default), the SMTP server will be added to the local block list for an administrator defined period of time.

Functional Requirements Satisfied: FDP_IFC.1a (Connect), FDP_IFC.1b (SRL), FDP_IFF.1a (Connect), FDP_IFF.1b (SRL), FMT_MSA.3c (Connect) and FMT_MSA.3d (SRL).

Security Management for Connection Filtering: The TOE will provide functionality to:

- manage local allow and block lists,
- manage the list of exceptional recipients,
- manage the use of remote block and allow lists,
- manage the use of the list of local SRL values, and
- configure SRL threshold settings and the period of time for which servers exceeding the threshold will be added to the block list.

5.3 Message filtering

Exchange allows the administrators to configure the TOE to reduce spam received by an organization. The Message Filter will accept or reject messages based on the rules of the following policies. By default, messages will be accepted by this policy.

Messages will be rejected if:

- the sender listed in the MAIL FROM: field of the RFC 2821 message envelope is on the sender filtering list, or
- the sender in the FROM header of the message (RFC 2822) is on the sender filtering list, or
- the MAIL FROM: field of the RFC 2821 message envelope is blank⁸ and the FROM header of the message (RFC 2822) does not contain a valid email address, or
- the recipient listed in the RCPT TO: field of the RFC 2821 message envelope is on the recipient filtering list, or

⁸ As this field can never be completely empty the term blank refers to a so called null address which is a MAIL FROM field that contains only the characters "<>"

- the recipient does not exist in the local address book

Finally, the TOE evaluates the SPF record for the sending domain and stamps the result on the message. This SPF record is published by domain servers in addition to their standard DNS information and identifies the machines that are allowed to send emails on behalf of the domain. In this way, the SPF record can help to identify forged addresses.

By default, the sender and recipient filtering list for this Security Function are empty.

Functional Requirements Satisfied: FDP_IFC.1c (Message), FDP_IFF.1c (Message), and FMT_MSA.3e (Message).

Security Management for Message Filtering: The TOE will provide functionality to manage sender and recipient filtering lists.

5.4 Attachment Filtering

The TOE applies an attachment filter to incoming mail based on the e-mail attachments.

The TOE provides the administrator the ability to specify that messages that contain a specified attachment or attachment type be subject to a predefined action. The Administrator can choose to block the whole message while optionally advising the sender that the message was not delivered, or remove the attachment and deliver the message. This policy is defined by the Administrator based on the Attachment MIME Type or the Attachment extension.

The default policy is to remove all attachments of the following MIME types and extensions:

- **MIME Type:** application/x-msdownload, message/partial, text/scriptlet, application/prg, application/msaccess, text/javascript, application/x-javascript, application/javascript, x-internet-signup, application/hta
- **Extensions:** *.xnk, *.wsh, *.wsf, *.wsc, *.vbs, *.vbe, *.vb, *.url, *.shs, *.shb, *.sct, *.scr, *.scf, *.reg, *.prg, *.prf, *.pif, *.pcd, *.ops, *.mst, *.msp, *.msi, *.psc2, *.psc1, *.ps2xml, *.ps2, *.ps11xml, *.ps11, *.ps1xml, *.ps1, *.msc, *.mdz, *.mdw, *.mdt, *.mde, *.mdb, *.mda, *.lnk, *.ksh, *.jse, *.js, *.isp, *.ins, *.inf, *.hta, *.hlp, *.fxp, *.exe, *.csh, *.crt, *.cpl, *.com, *.cmd, *.chm, *.bat, *.bas, *.asx, *.app, *.adp, *.ade.

Functional Requirements Satisfied: FDP_IFC.1d (AttachmentFilter), FDP_IFF.1d (AttachmentFilter), and FMT_MSA.3f (AttachmentFilter).

Security Management for Attachment Filtering: The TOE will provide functionality to manage the Attachment Filtering Policy. This functionality will allow authorized Administrators to add or delete rules to the Attachment Filtering Policy.

5.5 Transport Filtering

The TOE allows an administrator to configure a set of ordered rules that can be applied to all messages passing through the Hub Transport server role. The Hub server will evaluate all the rules in order and execute any rules that apply. By default, no rules exist for this policy initially.

The administrator can define rules for messages based on the following attributes of an email:

- Sender,
- Recipients,
- CC;
- Subject,
- Classification,
- Header,
- Attachment name,
- Attachment size,
- Attachment extension,
- Importance, and
- Key words in Subject or email body.

Functional Requirements Satisfied: FDP_IFC.1e (Transport), FDP_IFF.1e (Transport), and FMT_MSA.3g (Transport).

Security Management for Transport Filtering: The TOE will provide functionality to manage the Hub Transport Policy. This functionality will allow authorized Administrators to add or delete rules to the Hub Transport Policy.

5.6 Access Control

The TOE uses Role Based Access Control (RBAC) permissions for the Mailbox, Hub Transport, Unified Messaging, and Client Access server roles. RBAC has two primary ways of assigning permissions to users in the organization, depending on whether the user is an administrator or specialist user, or an end-user: management role groups and management role assignment policies. Each method associates users with the permissions to control access to all functions and data within the TOE.

Mailbox permissions: The AccessRights parameter specifies the rights needed to perform the operation. Valid values include:

- FullAccess
- SendAs
- ExternalAccount
- DeleteItem
- ReadPermission
- ChangePermission
- ChangeOwner

Public folders: The AccessRights parameter specifies the rights being added. This parameter accepts the following values:

- **ReadItems** - The user has the right to read items within the specified public folder.
- **CreateItems** - The user has the right to create items within the specified public folder.
- **EditOwnedItems** - The user has the right to edit the items that the user owns in the specified public folder.
- **DeleteOwnedItems** - The user has the right to delete items that the user owns in the specified public folder.
- **EditAllItems** - The user has the right to edit all items in the specified public folder.
- **DeleteAllItems** - The user has the right to delete all items in the specified public folder.

- **CreateSubfolders** - The user has the right to create subfolders in the specified public folder.
- **FolderOwner** - The user is the owner of the specified public folder. The user has the right to view and move the public folder and create subfolders. The user can't read items, edit items, delete items, or create items.
- **FolderContact** - The user is the contact for the specified public folder.
- **FolderVisible** - The user can view the specified public folder, but can't read or edit items within the specified public folder.

In addition to access rights, you can create rights based upon roles, which includes multiple access rights. This parameter accepts the following values for roles:

- **None** - FolderVisible
- **Owner** - CreateItems, ReadItems, CreateSubfolders, FolderOwner, FolderContact, FolderVisible, EditOwnedItems, EditAllItems, DeleteOwnedItems, DeleteAllItems
- **PublishingEditor** - CreateItems, ReadItems, CreateSubfolders, FolderVisible, EditOwnedItems, EditAllItems, DeleteOwnedItems, DeleteAllItems
- **Editor** - CreateItems, ReadItems, FolderVisible, EditOwnedItems, EditAllItems, DeleteOwnedItems, DeleteAllItems
- **PublishingAuthor** - CreateItems, ReadItems, CreateSubfolders, FolderVisible, EditOwnedItems, DeleteOwnedItems
- **Author** - CreateItems, ReadItems, FolderVisible, EditOwnedItems, DeleteOwnedItems
- **NonEditingAuthor** - CreateItems, ReadItems, FolderVisible
- **Reviewer** - ReadItems, FolderVisible
- **Contributor** - CreateItems, FolderVisible

Functional Requirements Satisfied: FDP_ACC.1 (Folder), FDP_ACF.1 (Folder), FMT_MSA.1 (Folder), FMT_MSA.3 (Folder).

Security Management for Access Control: Exchange 2010 has implemented a new permissions model termed Role Based Access Control (RBAC). The implementation of RBAC has removed the administrative task of modifying and managing access control lists (ACLs).

RBAC allows administrators to control, at both broad and granular levels, what administrators and end-users can do. RBAC also enables administrators to more closely align the roles assigned to users and administrators to the actual roles they hold within the organization. In Exchange 2010, RBAC now controls both the administrative tasks that can be performed and the extent to which users can now administer their own mailbox and distribution groups.

RBAC has two primary ways of assigning permissions to users in an organization, depending on whether the user is an Administrator, a specialist user, or an end-user: management role groups and management role assignment policies. Each method associates users with the permissions they need to perform their jobs. A third, more advanced method, direct user role assignment, can also be used.

Role groups:

- One or more Administrators can be members of a role group. They can also be members of more than one role group.
- The role group is assigned one or more role assignments. These link the role group with one or more administrative roles that define what tasks can be performed.
- The role assignments can contain management scopes that define where the users of the role group can perform actions. The scopes determine where the users of the role group can modify configuration.

Role assignment policies:

- One or more users can be associated with a role assignment policy.
- The role assignment policy is assigned one or more role assignments. These link the role assignment policy with one or more end-user roles. The end-user roles define what the user can configure on his or her mailbox.
- The role assignments between role assignment policies and roles have built-in scopes that restrict the scope of assignments to the user's own mailbox or distribution groups.

Direct role assignment (advanced):

- A role assignment can be created directly between a user or Universal Security Group (USG) and one or more roles. The role defines what tasks the user or USG can perform.
- The role assignments can contain management scopes that define where the user or USG can perform actions. The scopes determine where the user or USG can modify configuration.




Figure 2 – RBAC Overview

Functional Requirements Satisfied: FDP_ACC.1a (Folder), FDP_ACC.1b (Group), FDP_ACF.1a (Folder), FDP_ACF.1b (Group), FMT_MSA.3a (Folder) FMT_MSA.3b (Group) and FMT_MSA.1 (Folder).

5.7 Identification and Authentication

The TOE will identify and authenticate all users connecting via non-TLS secured Outlook Voice Access.

The identity of the user in the context of this Security Function is represented by the user's mailbox number or a telephone number that is transmitted from the PBX to the TOE (caller ID). When a user initiates a phone call to OVA, the TOE references the associated Caller Id that is transmitted from the PBX as an additional mechanism to identify the user. If the Caller Id matches a user, the user does not have to enter their mailbox number, but still must enter their PIN prior to gaining access to any TOE resources⁹. If the Caller ID is not transmitted or the transmitted number has not been assigned to a mailbox, the user is asked to enter their mailbox number. After this identification, the user is asked to enter their PIN for authentication. After successful authentication, the TOE associates the calling user with their corresponding Windows user account and the corresponding roles.

Please note that if the PBX establishes a connection over mutually authenticated TLS the authentication is not enforced as the environment is responsible for user authentication in this case.

⁹ Please note that the caller ID and the mailbox number are only mechanisms for the TOE to map the calling user to their corresponding ID.

Further, the TOE will ensure that PINs generated by administrators, the user or the TOE itself meet a quality metric as defined by the administrator based on:

- the number of digits of the PIN,
- the history of the last PINs, and
- common patterns.

The TOE specifically ensures that a PIN has at least a length of 8 digits.

After the user has been successfully authenticated, the user's identity is used to control the user's access to data to ensure that one user cannot access other user's data via this interface.

Functional Requirements Satisfied: FIA_SOS.1, FIA_UAU.2, FIA_UID.2, and FIA_USB.1.

Security Management for Identification and Authentication: The TOE will provide functionality to manage the attributes of users for Outlook Voice Access. Specifically this will allow Authorized Administrators to manage the quality metric for Outlook Voice Access PINs.

5.8 Distribution Group Restriction

Exchange 2010 can place restrictions on how messages are delivered to individual recipients. Message delivery restrictions apply to all recipient types and are used for controlling access to specific recipients.

An administrator can configure the following message delivery restrictions for a distribution group:

- Accept messages from a specific list of senders - Specify a list of senders from which to accept messages, the recipient will receive messages only from those senders. By default, all recipients are configured to accept messages from all senders.
- Reject messages from a specific list of senders - Specify a list of senders from which to reject messages, the recipient will reject messages from those senders. By default, all recipients are configured not to reject messages from any senders (this list has priority over the accept list).
- Require that all senders are authenticated – Setting that requires that all senders are authenticated; any messages from senders that don't have valid logon credentials will be rejected.

Functional Requirements Satisfied: FDP_ACC.1b (Group), FDP_ACF.1b (Group), FMT_MSA.3b (Group).

Security Management for Distribution Group Restriction: The TOE will provide functionality to:

- create and delete distribution groups, and
- configure message delivery restrictions.

5.9 Remote Wipe

Microsoft Exchange 2010 enables administrators to send a command to a mobile phone that will perform a wipe of that phone. This process, known as a remote device wipe, clears all Exchange information that's stored on the mobile phone.

The Remote Wipe function is implemented through the Exchange ActiveSync protocol, which is established over HTTP by a managed mobile device connecting to the Exchange Server. In addition to the Remote Wipe functionality, Exchange ActiveSync also provides mailbox synchronization, a suite of additional auxiliary commands and the provisioning or establishment of policies on a mobile device.

In order for the Remote Wipe function to work, a device must support the Provision command introduced in version 2.5 of the Exchange Active Sync protocol. For devices that do not support Provision or the claimed ability to support policies, a remote wipe command essentially blocks the device from syncing with Exchange Server.

Initiating remote wipe sets the following information in the device's sync state that resides in the user's mailbox:

- WipeRequestTime: Current date/time
- WipeSendTime: Null
- WipeAckTime: Null
- LastDeviceWipeRequestor: SMTP address of cmdlet executor
- WipeConfirmationAddresses: SMTP Addresses specified in -NotificationEmailAddresses parameter or Null if not present

Remote wipe initiation also causes any "hanging" command to complete by artificially deleting a custom folder within the user's mailbox thereby immediately returning a response to the device.

Any subsequent commands by a provisionable device will result in a response from the server with the unique HTTP status code 449 telling the device to send up a Provision request. The server will respond

to this request with a status telling the device to remote wipe. At this time, WipeSendTime will be set to the current data/time in the device's sync state that resides in the user's mailbox.

A non-provisionable device, if allowed to sync by policy, will receive an HTTP status code of 403 (Forbidden) for all subsequent requests.

Upon receiving the status to remote wipe from the server, the device should send up its acknowledgement Provision request with a remote wipe status value of 1 then promptly clear its memory. When the server receives this acknowledgement request, it sets the WipeAckTime to the current data/time in the device's sync state that resides in the user's mailbox.

Device wipe can be cancelled by a permissioned user with the Clear-ActiveSyncDevice –Cancel cmdlet/parameter combination. The command is only valid if the server has not sent a Provision response asking the device to wipe. This state is indicated by a valid WipeRequestTime date/time stamp but a null value for WipeSendTime in the device's sync state that resides in the user's mailbox.

Functional Requirements Satisfied: FMT_MOF.1 (Remote Wipe).

Security Management for Mobile Device Wipe: Through either the Exchange Management Console (EMC) or Exchange Management Shell administrators can issue remote wipe commands.